

Exposition on HMO26:

“Failure of symmetry of information for randomized computations”

Hanlin Ren

IAS

February 18, 2026

This is an exposition of [HMO26].

We say that SoI for rKt holds with error $e(n)$ if for every large enough n and for all strings $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, we have

$$\text{rKt}(x \mid y) \leq \text{rKt}(x, y) - \text{rKt}(y) + e(n).$$

1 Theorem 1: SoI to Meta-complexity

Theorem 1. *Suppose that SoI for rKt holds with error $e(n)$. Then given $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, the value $\text{rKt}(x)$ can be approximated up to an additive term of $\gamma(n) := O(e(n) \cdot \log n)$ in randomized $2^{O(e(n) \cdot \log n)}$ time.*

Proof. Assume that SoI for rKt holds with error $e(n)$. We proceed in the following steps.

1. We need some notations:

- $M_{\text{opt}}(x \mid y)$ is the rKt-optimal program for generating x given y .
- $t_{\text{opt}}(x \mid y)$ is the running time of $M_{\text{opt}}(x \mid y)$.

2. **For any length- n strings x, y , one of $t_{\text{opt}}(x)$ and $t_{\text{opt}}(y \mid x)$ is at most $2^{e(n)} \text{poly}(n)$.**

In fact, this follows from a cute trick regarding Kt-style measures.

Let $s_1 = |M_{\text{opt}}(x)|$, $t_1 = t_{\text{opt}}(x)$, $s_2 = |M_{\text{opt}}(y \mid x)|$, $t_2 = t_{\text{opt}}(y \mid x)$.

$x =$

rKt-optimal program:
size s_1 , time t_1

$y =$

rKt-optimal program (given x):
size s_2 , time t_2

If we generate x first and then generate y , then we have

$$\text{rKt}(xy) \leq s_1 + s_2 + \text{log}(t_1 + t_2) + O(\log n).$$

On the other hand, by SoI, we have

$$\text{rKt}(xy) \geq s_1 + s_2 + \text{log}(t_1) + \text{log}(t_2) - e(n).$$

Therefore, $\text{log}(t_1 + t_2) + O(\log n) + e(n) \geq \text{log}(t_1) + \text{log}(t_2)$.

It follows that $\min\{t_1, t_2\} \leq 2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$.

3. **rKt is approximated by rK^t for some $t(n) \approx 2^{e(n)}$.**

In fact, let x denote a string.

Let i denote the smallest integer such that $t_{\text{opt}}(x_{1 \sim i}) \geq 2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$.

- That is, the rKt-optimal program for printing $x_{1 \sim i}$ takes more than $2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ time.

Split x as follows.

$$x = \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{x_L := x_{1 \sim (i-1)}} \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{x_R := x_{(i+1) \sim n}}$$

i

By Item 2 above, $t_{\text{opt}}(x_R \mid x_{1 \sim i}) \leq 2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$.

- That is: given the prefix $x_{1 \sim i}$, the suffix x_R can be constructed in $2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ time.

By the minimality of i , $t_{\text{opt}}(x_L) < 2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$.

Now, by hardwiring i and x_i , we obtain a program of length $|M_{\text{opt}}(x_L)| + |M_{\text{opt}}(x_R \mid x_{1 \sim i})| + O(\log n)$ that computes x in $2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ time.

SoI implies that this program has length at most $\text{rKt}(x) + e(n) + O(\log n)$. In fact:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rKt}(x) &\geq \text{rKt}(x_L, x_R) - O(\log n) \\ &\geq \text{rKt}(x_L) + \text{rKt}(x_R \mid x_{1 \sim i}) - e(n) - O(\log n) && \text{(by SoI)} \\ &\geq |M_{\text{opt}}(x_L)| + |M_{\text{opt}}(x_R \mid x_{1 \sim i})| - e(n) - O(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

4. **The $2^{e(n)}$ -time near-optimal program for x is rKt-easy given x .**

Say that m is a program of length $|m|$ and time t that outputs x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rKt}(m \mid x) &\leq \text{rKt}(m, x) - \text{rKt}(x) + e(n) && \text{(by SoI)} \\ &\leq |m| + \log t - \text{rKt}(x) + e(n) + O(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

When m is the program defined in Item 3 above, $|m| + \log t - \text{rKt}(x) \leq e(n) + O(\log n)$.

Hence $\text{rKt}(m \mid x) \leq 2e(n) + O(\log n)$.

5. **To estimate $\text{rKt}(x)$, simply enumerate all the programs.**

Enumerate all programs m such that $\text{rKt}(m \mid x) \leq 2e(n) + O(\log n)$ and simulate them for $2^{e(n)} \cdot \text{poly}(n)$ steps.

This takes $\text{poly}(n, 2^{e(n)})$ time. □

References

- [HMO26] Jinqiao Hu, Yahel Manor, and Igor C. Oliveira. Failure of symmetry of information for randomized computations. In *STOC*, 2026.